

Committee and date CMT 10 Jan 2010 Cabinet 19 January 2011 Appendix

Item No

Public

STATEMENT OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER ON THE ADEQUACY OF PROVISIONS AND RESERVES 2011-14

Responsible Officers Peter Timmins IHoF, James Walton S151
Email: peter.timmins@shropshire.gov.uk Telephone: (01743) 252007

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Under Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, the Authority's Chief Financial Offic (Director of Finance) is required to report on the robustness of the estimates made for t purposes of the budget calculations and the adequacy of the proposed reserves. This rep deals with the adequacy of the proposed reserves, which information enables a longer te view of the overall position to be taken, and seeks Members' approval for the recommend level of our reserves, based on an assessment of current financial risk.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Cabinet approve:
 - A The recommended level of risk based general balances to support the 2011-revenue budget, be set at £13.342m;
 - B The plan to reach this level of reserves over a longer period.
- 2.2 That the Cabinet note the projected recommended level for the following two years, £13.280m and £10.945m, respectively.

3. Background

3.1 There are two approaches for deciding the optimum level of the general Balances. Eithe percentage of expenditure, which at one stage the Audit Commission set at 5% of r expenditure, or an approach based on a risk assessment of the budget, in the context of t Council's resourcing issues and pressures at the time.

This paper sets out the framework for a risk assessment approach. The issues t framework considers include the following:

- The Council will need to budget for the cost of any redundancies necessary to achieve
 the required budget savings and service restructuring to the extent they are not
 contained in the budget proposals. The Council's policy is that redundancy costs a
 contained in the budget proposals.
- There is always some degree of uncertainty over whether the full effects of all
 economy measures and/or service reductions will be achieved. Whilst the budg
 process has been prudent in these assumptions and that those assumption

Appendix

particularly about demand led budgets, should hold true in changing circumstances, adequate level of general contingency provides extra reassurance the budget will be delivered on target.

- The Bellwin Scheme Emergency Financial Assistance to Local Authorities provide assistance in the event of an emergency. The local authority is able to claim assistance with the cost of dealing with an emergency over and above a threshold set by the Government (Shropshire's threshold for 2011-12 has been calculated at £759,000 which is approximately 0.2% of budget). The assistance is usually 85% of any eligible cost over the threshold. Any incident for which assistance is sought must involve condition which are clearly exceptional by local standards and the damage to local author infrastructure or communities must be exceptional in relation to normal experience. the first instance these initial costs will have to be met from reserves.
- The risk of major litigation, both currently and in the future.
- Risk of changes to the levels of grant funding.
- The risk of losing subsidy arising from outstanding Housing Benefit and Council To Benefit Subsidy claims
- The risk of grants being introduced mid-year that require the Council to contribute.
- Unplanned volume increases in major demand led budgets, particularly in the context high and accelerating growth.
- Changes in the economic climate which may influence certain levels of income to be received at a lower level than previous years, such as Planning fees.

The need to retain a general contingency to provide for any unforeseen circumstance

- which may arise.
- The need to retain reserves for general day to day cash flow needs.

4. The Framework for the General Balance

4.1 The basis of the Framework is an area of risk, a budget amount, an assessed level of risk, medium, low), and a percentage factor, which will vary according to the level of risk which produces a value. The total of the value column is the level of balances required cover the identified risk. The following example illustrates the text:

Salaries budget: £143m Risk: low Factor: 0.10% Value: £143k

4.2 The eleven areas of risk considered in the general contingency are set out in Appendix 1 with an explanation of the potential risks faced by the Council. Appendix 2 details the calculation of the General Reserves at £13.342m for 2011-12, £13.280m for 2012-13 and £10.945m for 2013-14.

5. Review of Earmarked Reserves and Provisions

5.1 To support the 2011-12 budget process a detailed review of earmarked reserves and provisions has been undertaken and has identified only one amount which can be released to support the General Fund:

£000

Waste Partnership General Reserve 1,400

5.2 This amount of £1.4m has been included for decision in the M8 2010-11 Revenue Monitor support the level of General Reserves recommended at 1st April 2011 and to underpin the 2011-12 budget.

6. General Fund Balance

- 6.1 It is essential in setting a balanced budget that the Council has money available in the everof unexpected spending pressures. The "balances" need to reflect spending experience at risks to which the Council is exposed.
- 6.2 Based upon the latest budget monitoring position at Month 8 it is envisaged that at the e of 2010-11 the balances will be £3.122m. This is significantly lower than the £11.7m ribased calculation of balances required for 2010/11. However, any additional fundi sources or uncommitted expenditure identified between now and the year end is released the General Fund Balance in order to reduce the gap. The budget strategy for 2011/12 al recognises that balances need to be increased and so has provided for a contribution to t General Fund Balance. However, it is not anticipated that this will fully close the gap ir single financial year.
- years, reflects the reduced level of risk, particularly in the areas of "infant budgets" a "efficiency savings". Therefore the risk of not holding a General Fund Balance at t required level is tempered by this reduction in future years.

The reduction in the calculated level of risk based balances needed in the following to

List of Background Papers (This MUST be completed for all reports, but does not include items containing exempt or confidential information)

Human Rights Act Appraisal

None.

6.3

Environmental Appraisal

None.

Risk Management Appraisal

The processes behind establishing the level of Reserves is well understood and seeks to mitigate the level of risk by adhering to its precepts.

Community / Consultations Appraisal

None.

Cabinet Member

Keith Barrow, Leader of the Council.

Local Member

N/A.

Appendices

Annex 1: Eleven Areas of risk for Shropshire Council

Annex 2: Balances Calculation

Version: Jan 11, 10.45. F: 110105

ANNEX 1

No	Area of risk	Explanation of risk					
1	Inflation on expenditure	There are two issues. Firstly, there may be some items of expenditure – fuel costs for example - where any estimate inflation is a 'best guess'. The risk assessment puts a figure to the higher level of inflation that would seem to be unreasonable to include in a budget, but might come to pass. Secondly, information is less accurate for years 2 and 3; trisk assessment covers the higher range.					
		At the present time the indications about inflation are a contradiction with reported reductions in some areas and increases in others. This makes it difficult to predict what will happen with the economy proving to be very challenging and looking forward there is uncertainty about what will happen and the level of inflation required.					
2	Interest rates on borrowing	This is similar to 1 above, but for a specific area.					
	and investment	The expected impact of recent developments on interest rates on the 2011-12 budget will result in reduced interest earned on future money to be placed on the money markets. In the past it was possible for the Council to lend money aget a better interest rate than it was paying for borrowed money.					
		The Council's borrowing has been undertaken at fixed rates of interest and so the level of interest payable is not considered as a risk to variable rates.					
3	Grants, Housing Benefits	The Government system for allocating grants can appear short-term and a 'best guess' has to be offered in lieu of hard facts. Currently there are 2 issues: Housing Benefits – whilst the administration grant is straightforward (but reducing), the subsidy is subject to audit and, sometimes, abatement. The Council has no particular earmarked reserve to meet such an unforeseen cost should it occur. On occasions general issues arise on grants which place the Council at risk of clawback.					

Appendix

No	Area of rick	Explanation of risk
NO	Area of risk	Explanation of risk
4	Infant (estimated) budgets, (Inc or Exp) • Job Evaluation	There are some initiatives that are known will happen, but are not sufficiently advanced to accurately cost.
	Reorganisation incl redundancies Transformation costs	The results on job evaluation scores but not pay have been passed to staff on 1 st December 2008. Appeals on points are ongoing and it is unknown how this will impact on salar costs.
		As part of the budget process discussions have taken place with the DCLG re capitalisation of redundancies. A decision on whether this is possible is expected late in the budget process.
		The Council is currently going through a restructure process which it is anticipated may not be fully operational by the end of March to generate the savings it is planned to make.
5	Volume changes - Demand led or volatile budgets (I or E) • Land sales	Equally, there are long standing areas of risk, that are volatile – we budget for the middle of the range, but might find the actuality is at the higher end.
	Collection Fund	In certain areas such as Land Sales the planned receipts estimated to the Council have fallen due to reducing market values and problems for potential procurers in obtaining finance. The effect of this has impacted on the Capital Plan
		In respect of the Collection Fund then there would seem to be a risk that in the current economic downturn that the current projected levels of Council Tax collection fall leading to increased pressure on the Collection Fund.
6	Efficiency savings	The budget includes improvement programmes that will deliver savings; the risk is that they may be delivered at a slower rate.
		For future years there is a requirement on the Council to make year on year cashable efficiency savings.
7	Insurance, funds and excesses	Acts of God can result in higher insurance traffic than had been anticipated.
		Shropshire Council would seem to be well covered on this aspect re the general assessment of provisions and reserves in that it has based them on a detailed Actuarial Review given the potential impact of the economy which may lead to an increase in claims.
8	Emergency planning – Bellwin, disaster recovery,	Were a disaster to occur, we must have a reserve in place to pick up costs that will fall to the Council.

Appendix

No	Area of risk	Explanation of risk
	snow days	The impact of flooding within the Council area based on present experience is that it is limited to localised pockets.
		The Council area has encountered budget pressures in the last two financial years due to extreme weather conditions and additional costs such as snow clearance particularly in winter.
		Other disasters such as those on ICT could occur on a one off basis.
9	Changes (I or E) Carbon Reduction Tax LATS and Landfill costs Debt Collection Parking charges Planning & Building Fees Allowance for Budget Corrections	Change necessarily means doing things in a way for which we have no evidence. Our assumptions may be wrong. Also, the areas of change will alter over the years. This is the most difficult area to predict but there will be increased costs which are not foreseen when the budget is prepared. An example is developments on Shared Services and the timing of the beneficial impact on the Council. Other risk areas are seen to be increased costs on waste if recycling targets are not met; less income collected due to changing economic climate generally across the Council area; and a reduction in parking income as less people use
		retail facilities. An allowance for budget corrections has also been built in to accommodate any corrective action that needs to be taken if the assumptions about service delivery should change.
10	Financial guarantees /legal exposure	The contracts containing obligations that, if not fulfilled, would attract a penalty.
		The Council does have PFI Schemes. However there is no risk to the financing of the schemes at this present moment

Annex 2: Balances calculation

Balances Calculation

				Balances	Calculatio	n	1				
	2010/11 Risk	Value	AREA OF RISK	Dudmat	2011/12 Risk	Value	Dudmat	2012/13 Risk	Value	Dudmat	201
Budget £000	Level	£000		Budget £000	Level	£000	Budget £000	Level	£000	Budget £000	R
2000	Level	2000	la de de a	2000	Level	2000	2000	Level	2000	2000	Le
			Inflation								<u> </u>
143,125	0.10%	143.1		125,607	0.25%	314.0	115,972	0.25%	289.9	114,929	(
14,352	1.00%		Premises	12,595	2.00%	251.9	11,629	2.00%	232.6	11,525	2
18,502	4.00%		Transport	16,237	4.00%	649.5	14,992	4.00%	599.7	14,857	4
55,225	1.00%		Supplies & Services	48,465	1.00%	484.7	44,748	1.00%	447.5	44,345	
112,399	0.25%		Third Party Payments	98,641	0.25%	246.6	91,076	0.25%	227.7	90,256	(
75,017	0.25%		Transfer Payments	65,835	0.25%	164.6	60,785	0.25%	152.0	60,238	(
63,789	0.25%		Other	55,981	0.25%	140.0	51,687	0.25%	129.2	51,222	(
482,409		2,207		423,362		2,251	390,890		2,079	387,372	
			Interest Rates								
278,000	0.00%	0	Borrowing	278,000	0.00%	0	278,000	0.00%	0	278,000	(
99,932	0.20%	200	Investment	99,932	0.50%	500	99,932	0.50%	500	99,932	(
400	10.00%		PWLB	400	10.00%	40	400	15.00%	60	400	20
1.000	0.00%	0	Icelandic Bank exposure	220	10.00%	22	90	10.00%	9	90	10
.,,,,,,		240				562			569		
			Grants								
81,000	0.25%	203	Housing Benefits	81,000	0.25%	203	81,000	0.25%	203	81,000	(
01,000	Quantum	200	General Clawback	01,000	Quantum	0	31,000	Quantum	0	31,000	Qua
1	Quantuill	203	Constal Clawback	1	- Quantum	203	1	- Quantum	203	i	Que
-			Infant Budgets	1					-200		1
1,500	1.50%	22.5		1,000	1.50%	15	-	1.00%	0	 	(
1,500	1.50%	22.5					2.000			2 000	
5,400	- 0001	2.540.0	Reorganisation Savings Redundancy	3,000	50.00% 20.00%	1,500 540	3,000	50.00%	1,500	3,000	50 20
	65.00%			2,700			1,600	20.00%	320	800	20
250	10.00%		Transformation	1,400	10.00%	140	1,400	10.00%	140		
		3,558				2,195			1,960		
			Volume Changes								
28,900	1.00%	289.0	Land Sales	9,500	25.00%	2,375	10,000	25.00%	2,500	850	25
130,884	0.10%	130.9	Collection Fund	130,884	0.50%	654	130,884	0.40%	524	130,884	(
		420				3,029			3,024		
			Efficiency Savings								
2,000	50.00%	1,000	2010/11 Budget	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3,074	0.25%		2010/11 Procurement	2,440	20.00%	488	2,334	10.00%	233	2,334	
		1,008				488			233		
			Insurance								
3,945	0.00%	-	Provision	3,945	0.00%	-	3,945	0.00%	-	3,945	0.0
1,871	0.00%	-	Reserve	1,871	0.00%	-	1,871	0.00%	-	1,871	0.0
			Emergency Planning								
50	Quantum	50	ICT Disaster	50	Quantum	50	50	Quantum	50	50	Qua
			Other Incident	40		40	40	Quantum	40	40	Qua
759	10.00%		Bellwin	759	10.00%	76	759	30.00%	228	759	50
1,469	70.00%	1.028		1,469	70.00%	1.028	1.469	70.00%	1.028	1,469	7
.,.50	, 0.0070	1,194		.,.55	. 0.0070	1,194	.,	. 0.0070	1,346	.,	
		.,	Changes			.,			.,		
1			Carbon reduction tax	1			900	50.00%	450	750	5
- 1	-		LATS Risk	522	10.00%	52	552	10.00%	55	1,690	1
3,902	-		Landfill Costs	3,741	7.50%	281	4,275	8.10%	346	4,809	1
	0.10%	40	Debt Collection	15,603	1.00%	156		0.50%	78	15,603	1
15 000							15,603				
15,603	10.00%		Parking Income	3,213	10.00%	321	3,277	10.00%	328	3,343	1
3,150	40.000	393	Planning & Building Control fees	3,931	10.00%	393	3,931	10.00%	393	3,931	1
3,150 3,931	10.00%			221,699	1.00%	2,217	221,699	1.00%	2,217 3,867	221,699	
3,150	10.00% 1.00%	2,217	Allowance for Budget corrections								l
3,150 3,931						3,420			3,007		
3,150 3,931	1.00%	2,217	Financial Guarantees			ĺ					
3,150 3,931	1.00% Quantum	2,217	Financial Guarantees PFI (SWP)		Quantum	0		Quantum	0		
3,150 3,931	1.00%	2,217	Financial Guarantees		Quantum Quantum	0		Quantum Quantum			Qu
3,150 3,931	1.00% Quantum	2,217	Financial Guarantees PFI (SWP)			0			0		Qu
3,150 3,931	1.00% Quantum	2,217	Financial Guarantees PFI (SWP) PFI (QICS)		Quantum	0		Quantum	0		Qu
3,150 3,931	1.00% Quantum	2,217 2,941	Financial Guarantees PFI (SWP) PFI (QICS)		Quantum	0 0		Quantum	0 0		Qui Qui Qui